

## Partial Theorem List (preliminary)

Jonathan L.F. King  
University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
squash@ufl.edu  
Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>  
20 April, 2022 (at 22:43)

For  $N$  a posint, use  $\Phi(N)$  or  $\Phi_N$  for the set  $\{r \in [1..N] \mid r \perp N\}$ . The cardinality  $\varphi(N) := |\Phi_N|$  is the **Euler phi function**. [So  $\varphi(N)$  is the cardinality of the multiplicative group,  $\Phi_N$ , in the  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  ring.] Easily,  $\varphi(p^L) = [p-1] \cdot p^{L-1}$ , for prime  $p$  and posint  $L$ . Less easily, when  $K \perp N$ , then  $\varphi(KN) = \varphi(K) \cdot \varphi(N)$ .

Use **EFT** for the Euler-Fermat Thm, which says: Suppose that integers  $b \perp N$ , with  $N$  positive. Then  $b^{\varphi(N)} \equiv_N 1$ .

**Divisibility.** Use  $\equiv_N$  to mean “congruent mod  $N$ ”. Let  $n \perp k$  mean that  $n$  and  $k$  are co-prime [no prime in common].

Use  $k \blacktriangleright n$  for “ $k$  divides  $n$ ”. Its negation  $k \nmid n$  means “ $k$  does not divide  $n$ .” Use  $n \blacktriangleright k$  and  $n \nmid k$  for “ $n$  is/is-not a multiple of  $k$ .” Finally, for  $p$  a prime and  $E$  a natnum: Use double-verticals,  $p^E \blacktriangleright n$ , to mean that  $E$  is the **highest** power of  $p$  which divides  $n$ . Or write  $n \blacktriangleright p^E$  to emphasize that this is an assertion about  $n$ . Use **PoT** for Power of Two and **PoP** for Power of (a) Prime.

**1: Euclidean Algorithm Thm (EuclAlg).** Given  $B$  and  $C$ , not both zero, let  $G := \text{GCD}(B, C)$ . Then there are integers  $s$  and  $t$ , called **Bézout multipliers**, with

$$1a: \quad Bs + Ct = G.$$

More generally, given integers  $B_1, \dots, B_L$  not all zero, there exists a **Bézout tuple**  $(s_\ell)_{\ell=1}^K$  such that

$$1b: \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^K B_\ell \cdot s_\ell = \text{GCD}(B_1, \dots, B_K).$$

Returning to the  $L = 2$  case, pick one pair  $(s_0, t_0)$  fulfilling (1a). Then the set of all such pairs is precisely  $\{(s_k, t_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where

$$1c: \quad \begin{aligned} s_k &:= s_0 + k \cdot \frac{C}{G}, \\ t_k &:= t_0 - k \cdot \frac{B}{G}. \end{aligned}$$

**Primes vs. Irreducibles.** Consider a commutative ring  $(\Gamma, +, 0, \cdot, 1)$ . An elt  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  is a **zero-divisor** [abbrev **ZD**] if there exists a *non-zero*  $\beta \in \Gamma$  st.  $\alpha\beta = 0$ . In contrast, an element  $u \in \Gamma$  is a **unit** if  $\exists w \in \Gamma$  st.  $u \cdot w = 1$ . This  $w$ , written as  $u^{-1}$ , is called the **reciprocal** [or **multiplicative-inverse**] of  $u$ . [When an elt has a mult-inverse, this mult-inverse is unique.]

Exer 1a: If  $\alpha$  divides a unit,  $\alpha \blacktriangleright u$ , then  $\alpha$  is a unit.

Exer 1b: If  $\gamma \blacktriangleright z$  with  $z \in \text{ZD}$ , then  $\gamma$  is a zero-divisor.

Exer 2: In an arbitrary ring  $\Gamma$ , the set  $\text{ZD}(\Gamma)$  is *disjoint* from  $\text{Units}(\Gamma)$ .

An element  $p \in \Gamma$  is:

- i:  $\Gamma$ -**irreducible** if  $p$  is a non-unit, non-ZD, such that for each  $\Gamma$ -factorization  $p = x \cdot y$ , either  $x$  or  $y$  is a  $\Gamma$ -unit. [Restating, using the definition below: Either  $x \approx 1, y \approx p$ , or  $x \approx p, y \approx 1$ .]
- ii:  $\Gamma$ -**prime** if  $p$  is a non-unit, non-ZD, such that for each pair  $c, d \in \Gamma$ : If  $p \blacktriangleright [c \cdot d]$  then *either*  $p \blacktriangleright c$  or  $p \blacktriangleright d$ .

**Associates.** In a commutative ring, els  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are **associates**, written  $\alpha \approx \beta$ , if *there exists* a unit  $u$  st.  $\beta = u\alpha$ . [For emphasis, we might say **strong associates**.] They are **weak-associates**, written  $\alpha \sim \beta$ , if  $\alpha \blacktriangleright \beta$  and  $\alpha \nmid \beta$  [i.e.  $\alpha \in \beta\Gamma$  and  $\beta \in \alpha\Gamma$ ].

Ex3: Prove **Assoc**  $\Rightarrow$  **weak-Assoc**.

Ex4: If  $\alpha \sim \beta$  and  $\alpha \notin \text{ZD}$ , then  $\alpha, \beta$  are (strong) associates.

Ex5: In  $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ , zero-divisors  $2, 4$  are weak-associates. [This, since  $2 \cdot 2 \equiv 4$  and  $4 \cdot 3 \equiv 12 \equiv 2$ .] Are  $2, 4$  (strong) associates?

Ex6: With  $d \blacktriangleright \alpha$ , prove: If  $\alpha$  is a non-ZD, then  $d$  is a non-ZD. And: If  $\alpha$  is a unit, then  $d$  is a unit.

**2: Lemma.** In a commRing  $\Gamma$ , each prime  $\alpha$  is irreducible.  $\diamond$

**Proof.** Consider factorization  $\alpha = xy$ . Since  $\alpha \blacktriangleright xy$ , WLOG  $\alpha \blacktriangleright x$ , i.e.  $\exists c$  with  $\alpha c = x$ . Hence

$$*: \quad \alpha = xy = \alpha cy.$$

By defn,  $\alpha \notin \text{ZD}$ . We may thus cancel in (\*), yielding  $1 = cy$ . So  $y$  is a unit.  $\blacklozenge$

There are rings<sup>♥1</sup> with irreducible elements  $p$  which are nonetheless not prime. However...

<sup>♥1</sup>Consider the ring,  $\Gamma$ , of polys with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . There,  $x^2 - 1$  factors as  $[x - 5][x + 5]$  and as  $[x - 1][x + 1]$ .

**3: Lemma.** Suppose  $\text{commRing } \Gamma$  satisfies the Bézout condition, that each GCD is a linear-combination. Then each irreducible  $\alpha$  is prime.  $\diamond$

**Pf.** Suppose  $\alpha \nmid c \cdot d$ . WLOG  $\alpha \nmid c$ . Let  $g := \text{GCD}(\alpha, c)$ . Were  $g \approx \alpha$ , then  $\alpha \nmid g \nmid c$ , a contradiction. Thus, since  $\alpha$  is irreducible, our  $g \approx 1$ .

Bézout produces  $S, T \in \Gamma$  with

$$1 = S\alpha + Tc. \quad \text{Hence}$$

$$*: \quad d = S\alpha d + Tcd = Sd\alpha + Tcd.$$

By hyp,  $\alpha \nmid cd$ , hence  $\alpha$  divides  $\text{RhS}(*)$ . So  $\alpha \nmid d$ .  $\diamond$

**4: Lemma.** In  $\text{commRing } \Gamma$ , if prime  $p$  divides a product  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_K$  then  $p \nmid \alpha_j$  for some  $j$ . [Exer. 7]  $\diamond$

**5: Prime-uniqueness thm.** In  $\text{commRing } \Gamma$ , suppose

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdots p_K = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot q_3 \cdots q_L$$

are equal products-of-primes. Then  $L = K$  and, after permuting the  $p$  primes, each  $p_k \approx q_k$ .  $\diamond$

**Pf.** [From Ex.4, previously, for non-ZD, relations  $\sim$  and  $\approx$  are the same.] For notational simplicity, we do this in  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , in which case  $p_k \approx q_k$  will be replaced by  $p_k = q_k$ .

FTSOC, consider a CEX which minimizes sum  $K+L$ ; necessarily positive. WLOG  $L \geq 1$ . Thus  $K \geq 1$ . [Otherwise,  $q_L$  divides a unit, forcing  $q_L$  to be a unit; see Ex.1a.] By the preceding lemma,  $q_L$  divides some  $p_k$ ; WLOG  $q_L \nmid p_K$ . Thus  $q_L = p_K$  [since  $p_K$  is prime and  $q_L$  is not a unit]. Cancelling now gives  $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_{K-1} = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_{L-1}$ , giving a CEX with a smaller  $[K-1] + [L-1]$  sum.  $\diamond$

**Example where  $\sim \neq \approx$ .** Here a modification of an example due to Irving (“Kap”) Kaplansky.

Let  $\Omega$  be the ring of real-valued *continuous* fncs on  $[-2, 2]$ . Define  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D} \in \Omega$  by: For  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{D}(t) := \begin{cases} t-1 & \text{if } t \in [1, 2] \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, 1] \end{cases}.$$

And for  $t \leq 0$  define

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \mathcal{E}(-t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(t) := -\mathcal{D}(-t).$$

[So  $\mathcal{E}$  is an Even fnc;  $\mathcal{D}$  is odd.] Note  $\mathcal{E} = f\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D} = f\mathcal{E}$ , where

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [1, 2] \\ t & \text{if } t \in [-1, 1] \\ -1 & \text{if } t \in [-2, -1] \end{cases}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{D}$ . [This  $f$  is not a unit, since  $f(0) = 0$  has no reciprocal. However,  $f$  is a *non-ZD*: For if  $fg = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $g$  must be zero on  $[-2, 2] \setminus \{0\}$ . Cty of  $g$  then forces  $g \equiv \mathbf{0}$ .]

Could there be a unit  $u \in \Omega$  with  $u\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}$ ? Well

$$u(2) = \frac{\mathcal{E}(2)}{\mathcal{D}(2)} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} +1, \quad \text{and} \quad u(-2) = \frac{\mathcal{E}(-2)}{\mathcal{D}(-2)} \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} -1.$$

Cty of  $u()$  forces  $u$  to be zero somewhere on interval  $(-2, 2)$ , hence  $u$  is *not* a unit.  $\square$

**Addendum.** By Ex.4, both  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  must be zero-divisors. [Exer.8: Exhibit a function  $g \in \Omega$ , *not* the zero-fnc, such that  $\mathcal{E} \cdot g \equiv \mathbf{0}$ .]  $\square$

Thus none of the four linear terms is prime. Yet each is  $\Gamma$ -irreducible. (Why?) This ring  $\Gamma$  has zero-divisors (yuck!), but there are natural subrings of  $\mathbb{C}$  where **Irred  $\nRightarrow$  Prime**.

**Convention.** Because there are so few units in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , it is conventional to just call the appropriate *positive* numbers “irreducible” or “prime”. To an algebraist,  $-5$  is prime; but it is an associate of 5, so one can always express arguments in terms of 5.

**6: Lemma.** *In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , each irreducible element  $p$  is necessarily prime.*  $\diamond$

**Pf.** With  $p \nmid c \cdot d$ , suppose that  $p$  does *not* divide  $d$ . Thus  $g := \text{GCD}(p, d)$  cannot be  $p$ . So  $g$  is a *proper* divisor of our irreducible  $p$ , so  $g$  must be 1.

By EuclAlg there are Bézout multipliers  $S, T$  such that  $1 = pS + dT$ . Multiplying by  $c$ , then, yields

$$c = cpS + cdT.$$

But each term on RhS is divisible by  $p$ . So  $c \mid p$ .  $\diamond$

**7a: Fermat's Little Thm (FLiT).** *For  $p$  prime and each  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ :*

$$b^p \equiv_p b. \quad \diamond$$

**7b: Euler-Fermat Thm (EFT).** *For  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $b \perp N$ ,*

$$b^{\varphi(N)} \equiv_N 1. \quad \diamond$$

**Proof.** Define  $f: \Phi_N \rightarrow \Phi_N$  by  $f(x) := \langle x \mathbf{b} \rangle_N$ . Since  $\mathbf{b} \perp N$ , our  $f$  is injective, hence (by PHP)  $f$  is a bijection. So we can write  $V := \prod(\Phi_N)$  as

$$*: \quad \prod_{x \in \Phi_N} f(x) \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \mathbf{b}^{\varphi(N)} \cdot \prod_{x \in \Phi_N} x = \mathbf{b}^{\varphi(N)} \cdot V,$$

where equality means in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ . Since  $V$  is a product of elts coprime to  $N$ , our  $V \perp N$ . So we can cancel out the  $V$  in  $(*)$  and obtain that  $1 \equiv_N \mathbf{b}^{\varphi(N)}$ .  $\diamond$

**ASIDE.** Alternatively, EFT follows from Lagrange's thm that the order of a subgroup divides the order of the enclosing group.  $\square$

**a** With  $N := 19$ , then  $\varphi(N) = \dots$ . Thus EFT (Euler-Fermat) says that  $9^{3632} \equiv_N \dots \in [0..N)$ .

**Soln:** Ok, but slow:

```
% (repeated-squaring 9 3632 19 :symmod t)
```

```
/----- Mod 19 ----\
n:      2^n |      Accum |      9^[2^n]
---+-----+-----+-----
0:         1 |          1 |          9
1:         2 |          1 |          5
2:         4 |          1 |          6
3:         8 |          1 |         -2
4:        16 |          1 |          4 <<
5:        32 |          4 |         -3 <<
6:        64 |          7 |          9
7:       128 |          7 |          5
8:       256 |          7 |          6
9:       512 |          7 |         -2 <<
10:      1024 |          5 |          4 <<
11:      2048 |          1 |         -3 <<
All:      done |         -3 |
\----- Mod 19 ----/
```

So  $9^{\{3632\}}$  is mod-19 congruent to the product of the << marked values, which is -3.

What do we learn from a repeated pattern in the  $g^{[2^N]}$  column?

Since  $\varphi(19) = 18$ , faster is

```
& (mod 3632 18)  -> 14
& (repeated-squaring 9 14 19)
```

```
/----- Mod 19 ----\
n:      2^n |      Accum |      9^[2^n]
---+-----+-----+-----
0:         1 |          1 |          9
1:         2 |          1 |          5 <<
2:         4 |          5 |          6 <<
3:         8 |         -8 |         -2 <<
All:      done |         -3 |
\----- Mod 19 ----/
```

So  $9^{\{14\}}$  is mod-19 congruent to the product of the << marked values, which is -3.

**Alternatively.**  $3632 \equiv_{18} 14 \equiv_{18} -4$ . And  $\langle 1/9 \rangle_{19} = -2$ . Thus  $\langle 9^{-4} \rangle_{19} = \langle [-2]^4 \rangle_{19}$ . And indeed...

```
% (repeated-squaring -2 4 19)
```

```
/----- Mod 19 ----\
n:      2^n |      Accum |      [-2]^[2^n]
---+-----+-----+-----
0:         1 |          1 |          -2
1:         2 |          1 |           4
2:         4 |          1 |         -3 <<
All:      done |         -3 |
\----- Mod 19 ----/
```

So  $[-2]^4$  is mod-19 congruent to the product of the << marked values, which is -3.

**b**  $N := \varphi(100) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ . So  $\varphi(N) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ .  
 EFT says that  $3^{1621} \equiv_N \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \in [0..N)$ . Hence  
 (by EFT) last two digits of  $7^{[3^{1621}]}$  are  $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ .

**Soln:**  $1621 \equiv_{16} 5$ . So  $3^5 = 81 \cdot 3 \equiv_{40} 1 \cdot 3 = 3$ . Since  $3 \perp 40$ , EFT applies to tell us  $3^{1621} \equiv_{40} 3$ . And as  $7 \perp 100$ , EFT gives  $7^{[3^{1621}]} \equiv_{100} 7^3 = 343 \equiv_{100} 43$ .

**8: Wilson's Thm.** Fix a prime  $p$ . Then  $\prod(\Phi p) = -1$   
in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Alternatively  $[p-1]! \equiv_p -1$ .  $\diamond$

**General abbrevs.** OTOH, On the other hand.

WLOG, Without loss of generality.

FTSOC, For The Sake Of Contradiction.

TFAE. The following are equivalent.

ISTShow. It suffices to show.

sqrt, sqroot, square-root.

RHS, RightHand Side (of an equation or inequality).

LHS, LeftHand Side.

**More abbrevs.** SOTS: Sum-Of-Two-Squares. So  $13 = 2^2 + 3^2$  is SOTS. And  $25 = 0^2 + 5^2 = 3^2 + 4^2$  is SOTS in two ways.

A integer  $N$  is **coprime-SOTS** if *there exist* integers  $x \perp y$  st.  $x^2 + y^2 = N$ . Eg, 20 is SOTS, but is *not* coprime-SOTS. What about 125? Certainly  $125 = 100 + 25 = 10^2 + 5^2$ ; but  $10 \not\perp 5$ , so we still don't know. Noting that  $125 = 121 + 4 = 11^2 + 2^2$ , and  $11 \perp 2$ , we conclude that 125 is coprime-SOTS.

3Pos: An integer  $n$  is 3Pos if  $n \equiv_3 +1$ , and is 3NEG if  $n \equiv_3 -1$ . Similarly, “ $n \in 4\text{NEG}$ ” means  $n \equiv_4 -1$ .

An odd integer  $n$  is 8NEAR if  $n$  is mod-8 congruent either to +1 or to -1. Saying “ $n \in 8\text{FAR}$ ” means that  $n \equiv_8 \pm 3$ . (So -11, 3, 5, 13, 21 are some 8FAR numbers. And -7, 9, 15, 23  $\in 8\text{NEAR}$ .)

## Theorem abbrevs

QF, Quadratic Formula. UFT, Unique Factorization Thm (also called FTArithm). FLiT, Fermat's Little Thm. FLaT, Fermat's Last Thm (also FLT).

EFT, Euler-Fermat Thm. LST, Legendre-symbol Thm. SOTS Thm; Fermat's Thm characterising which posints are SOTS. PNT, Prime Number Thm. EuclAlg, Euclidean Algorithm.

## Standing notation

Use MF to mean “(a) multiplicative function” or “multiplicative”.

QR, Quadratic residue. NQR, Non-quadratic residue. For posint  $m$ , use  $m\text{-QR}$  for a mod- $m$  QR, and use  $m\text{-NQR}$  for a mod- $m$  NQR. E.g “Number -2 is an 11-QR (since  $3^2 \equiv_{11} -2$ ), and +2 is an 11-NQR [since none of  $1^2, 2^2, 3^2, 4^2, 5^2 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{11}$ ].”

Another example: Number 13 is a 51-QR, since  $8^2 \equiv_{51} 13$  and  $8 \perp 51$ . OTOHand,  $-1 \in \text{NQR}_{51}$ ; none of the  $\mathbb{Z}_{51}$ -units square to -1. Value 6 is neither a  $\text{QR}_{51}$  nor a  $\text{NQR}_{51}$ , since 6 *fails* to be coprime to 51.

Arith-prog means “arithmetic progression”.

Given an odd prime  $p$ , let  $H = H(p) := \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Let  $S = S(p)$  be the unique integer st.  $S^2 < p < [S+1]^2$ ; so  $S = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor$ . When  $p$  is a 4Pos-prime, let  $R(p)$  be the unique value  $R \in [1..H]$  so that  $R^2 \equiv_p -1$ .

**Defn.** For a prime  $p$  and integer  $z$ , the **Legendre-symbol** is written as

$$\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \quad \text{or, in email, also as} \quad (z // p).$$

By defn,  $\left(\frac{z}{p}\right)$  is +1, if  $z \in \text{QR}_p$ ; is -1, if  $z \in \text{NQR}_p$ ; and is 0, if  $z \not\perp p$ , i.e  $z \nmid p$ .

An odd integer  $k$  is “4Pos” if  $k \equiv_4 +1$ ; is 4NEG if  $k \equiv_4 -1$ ; is 8NEAR if  $k \equiv_8 \pm 1$  (either); is 8FAR if  $k \equiv_8 \pm 3$ .  $\square$

**9: Legendre-symbol Thm.** Fix an odd prime  $p$  and  $H := \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Use  $\langle \cdot \rangle_p$  for *symmetric residue*, selecting from  $[-H..H]$ . For each integer  $z$ :

a: The (symmetric) residue  $\langle z^H \rangle_p$  equals  $\left(\frac{z}{p}\right)$ . *Euler criterion.*

b: For  $x, z$  integers:  $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{z}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{xz}{p}\right)$ . I.e, mapping  $x \mapsto \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$  is totally-multiplicative. [I.e,  $x \mapsto \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$  is a semigroup-hom  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, \cdot, 1) \rightarrow (\{\pm 1, 0\}, \cdot, 1)$ , hence is a group-hom  $(\Phi_p, \cdot, 1) \rightarrow (\{\pm 1\}, \cdot, 1)$ . This holds also for  $p=2$ .]

c: Value  $-1 \in \text{QR}_p$  IFF  $p$  is 4Pos, i.e,  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = [-1]^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ .

*Courtesy Wilson's Thm, value  $r := [H!]$  is a mod- $p$  sqroot of -1. i.e, is a  $p\text{-RONO}$ ,<sup>♡2</sup> when  $p \in 4\text{Pos}$ .*

d: The number 2 is a  $p\text{-QR}$  IFF  $p$  is 8NEAR, that is,  $p \equiv_8 \pm 1$ . I.e,  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = [-1]^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$ .  $\diamond$

BTWay, the analog of (9a), for Jacobi symbols, does not hold with  $p$  replaced by a general odd posint  $D$ . E.g, set  $D := 9$ ; so  $H = \frac{9-1}{2} = 4$ . Setting  $z := 2$ , then, we have that

$$\left(\frac{2}{9}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 1.$$

But  $z^H = 2^4 = 16$ , whose mod-9 symm-residue isn't even in  $\{\pm 1\}$ , since  $16 \equiv_9 -2$ .

<sup>♡2</sup>RONO is “(square-)Root Of Negative-One”.

## §Index, with symbols and abbrevs at the End

4Pos, 4Neg, 8Near, 8Far, **7**

associates, **1**

Euler criterion, **7**

Euler phi, **1**

irreducible element, **1**

Legendre-symbol, **7**

prime element, **1**

unit, **1**

zero-divisor, **1**



Filename: Problems/Nota/nota.prime-irred.latex  
As of: Friday 27Jul2018. Typeset: 20Apr2022 at 22:43.