

Generating functions: Combinatorics

Jonathan L.F. King
University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA
squash@ufl.edu
Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>
12 May, 2024 (at 13:15)

ABSTRACT: Examples of generating-fnc use. As usual, we will ignore the issue of series convergence. The example by Derek Ledbetter uses the Möbius inversion formula.

Nomenclature. We use Wilf's notation from his book, **GENERATINGFUNCTIONOLOGY**.

Counting irreducible monic polynomials over a finite field

This is Derek Ledbetter's solution. Let \mathbb{k} be a finite field; let $F := |\mathbb{k}|$. Henceforth

1: All "polys" (polynomials) have coefficients in \mathbb{k} and are monic.

[In particular, a "poly" is not Zip.] Let \mathcal{A}_D denote the number of (All, monic) polys of degree- D . Thus

$$\mathcal{A}_D = F^D, \quad \text{for } D = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Each poly can be written uniquely as a product of irreducibles; the constant poly 1 is the empty product. For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let \mathcal{I}_N denote the number of *irreducible*^{♥1} polys of deg- N . Hence $\mathcal{I}_1 = F$ since, for each $c \in \mathbb{k}$, the $x + c$ polynomial is irreducible.

2: Theorem. For each posint N , the number of irreducible degree- N monic polynomials is

$$??': \quad \mathcal{I}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k: k \nmid N} F^k \cdot \mu(N/k).$$

(Our convention for such sums is that the variable, here " k ", ranges only over *positive* divisors.)

^{♥1}In a commutative ring, my defn of *irreducible* is a non-zero-divisor, non-unit which only factors trivially. The only monic degree-zero poly is 1, which is a unit in this ring.

Remark. The $\mu(\cdot)$ above is the **Möbius function**. (See NumberTheory/multiplicative_fncs.latex for more on this fnc.) The Möbius inversion formula says, for an arbitrary function $g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that the relation

$$h(k) := \sum_{N: N \nmid k} g(N), \quad \text{can be inverted to}$$

$$g(N) = \sum_{k: k \nmid N} h(k) \cdot \mu(N/k).$$

An application of (??) gives Fermat's Little Thm: Take $N = p$ prime. So $\mathcal{I}_p = \frac{1}{p} [F^p - F]$. But \mathcal{I}_p is an integer, so F^p is mod- p congruent to F . \square

Proof. Enumerate the irreducible deg- N polys as

$$q_{N,1} \quad q_{N,2} \quad \dots \quad q_{N,i} \quad \dots \quad q_{N,\mathcal{I}_N-1} \quad q_{N,\mathcal{I}_N}.$$

Fix a poly $y(\cdot)$, and use D for its degree. Let $Y_{N,i}$ count the number of times the factor $q_{N,i}$ occurs in the [unique] factorization of y . Thus

$$3: \quad y(x) = \prod_{N=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}_N} [q_{N,i}(x)]^{Y_{N,i}},$$

where $Y_{N,i}$ is zero for all but finitely many (N, i) pairs. We can thus write the degree of y as

$$4: \quad D = \prod_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}_N} N \cdot Y_{N,i}.$$

Consider the product

$$5: \quad \prod_{N=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{I}_N} \left[\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} [x^N]^J \right].$$

For each pair N, i there is a sum—in big brackets—corresponding to it. To the poly $y(x)$ above, associate a particular product of monomials in (??) by selecting from the $(N, i)^{\text{th}}$ -sum the term $[x^N]^{Y_{N,i}}$; i.e, the J^{th} monomial, where $J = Y_{N,i}$. The product of the ∞ -many monomials so obtained [all but finitely-many are "1"] evidently equals x^D .

We have constructed a bijection between all deg- D polys –rather, their factorizations (??)– and products of monomials in (??) whose product is x^D . Thus

$$6: \quad \sum_{D=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_D \cdot x^D = \prod_{N=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} [x^N]^J \right]^{\mathcal{I}_N}.$$

Obtaining \mathcal{A}_D in terms of $(\mathcal{I}_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$. In RhS(??), the N^{th} -sum equals

$$1/[1 - x^N]^{\mathcal{I}_N}.$$

And, since $\mathcal{A}_D = F^D$, the LhS equals $1/[1 - Fx]$. Taking reciprocals gives

$$1 - Fx = \prod_{N \geq 1} [1 - x^N]^{\mathcal{I}_N}.$$

Take log of both sides, using the expansion $\log(1 - z) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} z^k$, to yield

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} F^k x^k = \sum_{N \geq 1} \mathcal{I}_N \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{K} x^{NK}.$$

Apply the “ $x \cdot \frac{d}{dx}$ ” operator to remove the fractions:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F^k x^k = \sum_{N \geq 1} \sum_{K=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{I}_N \cdot N x^{NK}].$$

Finally, equating coefficients of x^k yields

$$7: \quad F^k = \sum_{N: N \blacktriangleright k} N \cdot \mathcal{I}_N.$$

Applying Möbius inversion to (??) yields the (??) formula. \blacklozenge

Keating's proof of integrality

With α and β ranging over the posints, define

$$8: \quad \llbracket N, F \rrbracket := \sum_{\alpha \cdot \beta = N} \mu(\alpha) \cdot F^{\beta}.$$

9: Thm. For each posint N and integer F , we have that $\llbracket N, F \rrbracket \blacktriangleright N$. \blacklozenge

Proof (Keating). For each N -clump $p^e \blacktriangleright N$, we need to show that

$$10: \quad \llbracket N, F \rrbracket \blacktriangleright p^e.$$

CASE: $p \nmid F$ Thus $p^e \perp F$, so we can apply Dirichlet's Thm to conclude that there is a prime $r \in [F + p^e \mathbb{Z}]$. Courtesy (??'),

$$\llbracket N, r \rrbracket \blacktriangleright N \stackrel{\text{note}}{\blacktriangleright} p^e.$$

But $F \equiv_{p^e} r$ and $\llbracket N, \cdot \rrbracket$ is an intpoly, so $\llbracket N, F \rrbracket \equiv_{p^e} \llbracket N, r \rrbracket$. Hence (??).

CASE: $p \mid F$ In order to establish (??), IST-Show, for each pair $\alpha \cdot \beta = N$, that

$$[\mu(\alpha) \neq 0] \implies [F^{\beta} \blacktriangleright p^e].$$

Now $\mu(\alpha) \neq 0$ means $p^2 \nmid \alpha$, i.e. $p^{e-1} \blacktriangleright \beta$. So $\beta \geq p^{e-1}$, since β is positive. Thus

$$F^{\beta} \blacktriangleright p^{p^{e-1}} \blacktriangleright p^e,$$

by (??*). \blacklozenge

11: Prop'n. For each $p \in [2.. \infty)$ and posint e : $p^{e-1} \geq e$. Consequently

$$*: \quad p^{p^{e-1}} \blacktriangleright p^e.$$

Pf. Trivially, $p^{1-1} = 1 \geq 1$. Inducting on e , then,

$$p^e = p \cdot p^{e-1} \geq p \cdot e = 1 + [p-1]e,$$

since $e \geq 1$. Thus $p^e \geq 1 + e$, courtesy $p \geq 2$. ♦

Keating's proof of positivity

Below, for posreals x , let \widehat{x} mean $\log(x)$.

Given a real T , define the **discrete derivative**

$$[\mathbf{D}_T h](s) := h(s+T) - h(s).$$

For two reals T and V , their discrete deriv-ops, \mathbf{D}_T and \mathbf{D}_V , commute with each other.

Defn. A fnc $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is **hyper-increasing** (Keating) if: h is ∞ -ly diff'able and

$$\forall_{\text{posints}} n: h^{(n)} \text{ is strictly-increasing.} \quad \square$$

12: Verifying hyper-increasing. Suppose h is hyper-increasing and $T > 0$. Then $g := \mathbf{D}_T(h)$ is hyper-increasing. ♦

Proof. Note $g^{(n)}(s) = h^{(n)}(s+T) - h^{(n)}(s)$. ♦

13: Prop'. Fix a real $F > 1$. Then $h(s) := F^{e^s}$ is hyper-increasing. ♦

Proof. Temporarily, a “pospoly” $r()$ is a poly whose coeffs are posreals. ISTShow, for each n , that $h^{(n)}(s)$ has form $r(e^s) \cdot F^{e^s}$. Diff'ing this gives

$$[r'(e^s) \cdot e^s] F^{e^s} + r(e^s) \cdot [F^{e^s} \cdot \widehat{F} e^s] = \rho(e^s) \cdot F^{e^s},$$

where $\rho(e^s)$ is $[r'(e^s) + r(e^s) \widehat{F}] \cdot e^s$. And this $\rho()$ is a pospoly, because $F > 1$ and therefore $\widehat{F} > 0$. ♦

14: Positivity Thm. For each posreal F and posint N , expression $\llbracket N, F \rrbracket$ from (??) is positive. ♦

Pf. Write $N = P \cdot L$, where $P = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_K$ is the product of the distinct primes in N . Since $\mu(\alpha)$ is zero whenever some p^2 divides α , necessarily

$$\llbracket N, F \rrbracket = \left[\sum_{\alpha: \beta=P} \mu(\alpha) F^{\beta L} \right] \stackrel{\text{note}}{=} \llbracket P, F^L \rrbracket.$$

So $\overline{\text{WLOGenerality, } N \text{ is square-free}}$.

Write $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_K$ as a product of distinct primes.

Whoa! Is this unfinished?

Filename: Problems/Combinatorics/generating_func.tex
As of: Wednesday 22Feb2006. Typeset: 12May2024 at 13:15.