

## Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem

Jonathan L.F. King  
University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA  
squash@ufl.edu  
Webpage <http://www.math.ufl.edu/~squash/>  
8 March, 2013 (at 20:22)

### Pointwise convergence

Fix an mpt  $(T: X, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  on a prob.space. Let  $\mathbb{A}_N(f)$  denote the fnc whose value at  $x$  is  $\mathbb{A}_N^k(f(T^k x))$ . Use  $E(\cdot | \cdot)$  for the conditional expectation operator.

The proof below is a symmetrized version of the Katznelson-Weiss proof.

**1: Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem.** Fix  $f \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mu)$ . Then this almost-everywhere limit exists,

$$\text{a.e.}\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{A}_N(f) = E(f | \mathcal{I}),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the field of  $T$ -invariant sets.  $\diamond$

**Reduction.** (The crux is proving a.e-convergence. For then, identifying the limit function as  $E(f | \mathcal{I})$  is not difficult.) Let  $\bar{f}$  and  $\underline{f}$  denote the pointwise  $\lim[\sup, \inf]_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{A}_N(f)$ . It suffices to show that

$$2: \quad \int \bar{f} \leq \int f.$$

For applying this to  $-f$  yields  $\int f \leq \int \underline{f}$ . Hence  $\int \bar{f} \leq \int f \leq \int \underline{f}$ . Since

$$\int \bar{f} \leq \int \underline{f} \quad \text{yet} \quad \bar{f}() \geq \underline{f}(),$$

it follows that  $\bar{f} \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \underline{f}$ .

Let  $f_M$  denote  $\text{Max}(f, M)$ , for  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_-$ . So

$$f^+ \geq f_{-1} \geq f_{-2} \geq f_{-3} \geq \dots,$$

and the Monotone Convergence Thm, implies  $\int f_M \searrow \int f$  as  $M \searrow -\infty$ . Now suppose we

had (2) for bounded-below integrable fncs. Applying (2) to an  $f_M$  gives  $\int f_M \geq \int \bar{f}_M$ . Each  $f_M \geq f$ , so  $\bar{f}_M \geq \bar{f}$ ; hence  $\boxed{\int f_M \geq \int \bar{f}}$ . So the foregoing MonoCT yields that  $\int f \geq \int \bar{f}$ . And this is (2) for  $f$ .

The upshot is that we we may assume that  $f$  is bounded below. And, since  $\mu(X) < \infty$  we may add a constant to assume that  $\boxed{f() \geq 0}$ .

**Bounding above.** We now do another reduction, this time sending  $M \nearrow \infty$ . Let

$$h_M() := \text{Min}(\bar{f}(), M) - \frac{1}{M}.$$

Note that  $h_M$  is  $T$ -invariant. And since

$$0 \leq h_1 \leq h_2 \leq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad h_M \nearrow \bar{f}$$

pointwise, the MonoCT forces  $\int h_M \nearrow \int \bar{f}$ .

Thus it suffices to establish  $\int f \geq \int h$  for each bounded, invariant function  $h$  which is exceeded (pointwise) by  $\bar{f}$ . By scaling both  $f$  and  $h$  we may assume the bound is 1. Our goal, having fixed a positive  $\varepsilon$ , becomes

$$2': \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{If a } T\text{-invariant function } h() \leq 1 \text{ satisfies } h < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{A}_N(f) \text{ pointwise, then} \\ \int h \leq 2\varepsilon + \int f. \end{array} \quad \square$$

**Pf of (1) via (??').** Let  $W(x)$  be the smallest posint  $N$  such that

$$*: \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(T^i x) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h(T^i x).$$

The strict inequality in (2') implies that this  $N$  exists since (by  $T$ -invariance of  $h$ )  $\text{RhS}(*) = h(x)$ . From this **stopping time** we will obtain a sequence of stopping times.

Fix a sufficiently large constant  $W_{\text{Max}}$  so that  $\mu(G^c) \leq \varepsilon$ , where

$$G := \{x \mid W(x) < W_{\text{Max}}\}.$$

For an  $x \in X$ , let  $s_1 = s_1(x)$  be the smallest  $m \geq 0$  so that  $T^m(x) \in G$ . For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  inductively define stopping times  $s_k$  and  $\ell_k$  and intervals  $J_k$  as follows:

$$\ell_k := W\left(T^{s_k}(x)\right) \stackrel{\text{note}}{\leq} W_{\text{Max}} \quad \text{and} \\ J_k := [s_k .. s_k + \ell_k).$$

Finally, let  $s_{k+1}$  is the smallest integer  $m \geq s_k + \ell_k$  such that  $T^m(x) \in G$ .

**Summing along a name.** Fix an  $N \gg W_{\text{Max}}$  and let  $K = K(x)$  be the largest index  $k$  with  $J_k \subset [0 .. N)$ . Let

$$\mathbb{J} := \bigsqcup_{k=1}^K J_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{J}^c := [0 .. N) \setminus \mathbb{J}.$$

By definition of  $W()$  we have the inequality  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} h(T^i x) \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} f(T^i x)$ . Since  $f() \geq 0$ , then,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}} h(T^i x) \leq \sum_{i \in [0 .. N)} f(T^i x).$$

Note also that an  $i$  can be in  $\mathbb{J}^c$  only if either  $i \in J_{K+1}$  or if  $T^i(x) \in G^c$ . Since  $h() \leq 1$  this gives


$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{J}^c} h(T^i x) \leq W_{\text{Max}} + \sum_{i \in [0 .. N)} \mathbf{1}_{G^c}(T^i x).$$

Adding these two inequalities then dividing by an  $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot W_{\text{Max}}$ , yields

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [0 .. N)} h(T^i x) \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [0 .. N)} \mathbf{1}_{G^c}(T^i x) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in [0 .. N)} f(T^i x).$$

Observe that *this inequality has no mention of a stopping-time*. Thus, there is no issue as to what it means to integrate it w.r.t  $x$ . And indeed,

$$2'': \quad \int h \leq \varepsilon + \mu(G^c) + \int f \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \int f$$

is the result, yielding  $(??')$  as desired. 

Filename: Classwork/Analysis/Erg2000t/macs\_ergbook.tex  
As of: Monday 27Nov2000. Typeset: 8Mar2013 at 20:22.