

## Properties of determinant

Jonathan L.F. King  
*University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-2082, USA*  
 squash@ufl.edu  
 Webpage <http://squash.1gainesville.com/>  
 18 October, 2023 (at 22:10)

**Abbreviations.** These are defined below.

$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}$ , multi-linear.

$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{n}\mathcal{S}$ , anti-symmetric.

$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{l}\mathcal{t}$ , alternating.

**Intro.** Below, all VSes are over a common field,

$$\mathsf{F} = (\mathsf{F}, +, 0, \cdot, 1)$$

In the defn below, I use “5” as a stand-in for  $N$ .

A map  $g: \mathbf{V}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V}_5 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is **5-multi-linear** [ $5\text{-}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}$ ] if for all tuples  $(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_5) \in \mathbf{V}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V}_5$ , the following 5 maps are each linear:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\cdot, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{u}_5) : \mathbf{V}_1 &\rightarrow \mathbf{X} , \\ g(\mathbf{u}_1, \cdot, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{u}_5) : \mathbf{V}_2 &\rightarrow \mathbf{X} , \\ g(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \cdot, \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{u}_5) : \mathbf{V}_3 &\rightarrow \mathbf{X} , \\ g(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \cdot, \mathbf{u}_5) : \mathbf{V}_4 &\rightarrow \mathbf{X} , \\ g(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4, \cdot) : \mathbf{V}_5 &\rightarrow \mathbf{X} . \end{aligned}$$

Sometimes 5- $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}$  is called **5-linear**, or just **multi-linear** if the 5 is understood. [We use *bilinear* rather than “2-linear”, typically.]

**When  $\mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{V}_2 = \cdots = \mathbf{V}_5$ .** Map  $h: \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is **anti-symmetric** [ $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{n}\mathcal{S}$ ] if

†: For all index-pairs  $j < k$ , switching the entries at indices  $j$  and  $k$  **negates** the  $h$ -value.

E.g, for  $j=2$  and  $k=5$ :

$$h(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_5, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{u}_2) = -1 \cdot h(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{u}_5) .$$

A map  $h: \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  is **alternating** [ $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{l}\mathcal{t}$ ] if for each tuple  $\widehat{\mathbf{u}} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_5)$ :

\*: If there exists an index-pair  $j < k$  with  $\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{u}_k$ , then  $h(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{X}}$ .

1: **AC-Alt Thm.** Consider a map  $h: \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ . Then

i:  $[\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L} \& \mathcal{A}\mathcal{l}\mathcal{t}] \implies [\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L} \& \mathcal{A}\mathcal{n}\mathcal{S}]$ .

ii: When  $\text{Char}(\mathsf{F}) \neq 2$  then the converse holds:  
 $[\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L} \& \mathcal{A}\mathcal{n}\mathcal{S}] \implies [\mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L} \& \mathcal{A}\mathcal{l}\mathcal{t}]$ .  $\diamond$

**Proof of (i).** WLOG,  $N = 2$ . For arbitrary  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{V}$ , note

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{X}} &\stackrel{\text{by } \mathcal{A}\mathcal{l}\mathcal{t}}{=} h(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{by } \mathcal{M}\mathcal{U}\mathcal{L}}{=} h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) + h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) + h(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) + h(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) \\ &\stackrel{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{l}\mathcal{t}}{=} \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{X}} + h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}) + h(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{X}} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}) = -h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w})$ .  $\diamond$

**Pf of (ii).**  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{n}\mathcal{S}$  implies that  $h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = -h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$ . Hence  $[1+1] \cdot h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{X}}$ . Since  $\text{Char}(\mathsf{F}) \neq 2$ , we may divide by  $[1+1]$ , obtaining the desired  $h(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{X}}$ .  $\diamond$

To verify that a map is  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{n}\mathcal{S}$ , it suffices to check that

‡: Switching adjacent entries,  $j$  and  $j+1$ , negates the value,

as a transposition is a composition of *oddly many* adjacent-transpositions.

Filename: Problems/Algebra/LinearAlg/determinant-prop.latex

As of: Monday 22Feb2016. Typeset: 18Oct2023 at 22:10.